IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BEAUMONT DIVISION

	No. 1:00CR102-1
United States of America	
v.	
Dewayne Joseph Brown	Defendant

Report and Recommendation Re: Petition for Warrant or Summons for Offender Under Supervision

Pending is a "Petition for Warrant or Summons for Offender Under Supervision," filed July 11, 2008, alleging that defendant violated conditions of supervised release. This matter is referred to the undersigned United States magistrate judge for review, hearing, and submission of a report with recommended findings of fact and conclusions of law. See United States v. Rodriguez, 23 F.3d 919, 920 n.1 (5th Cir. 1994); see also 18 U.S.C. § 3401(i) (2000); Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

I. The Original Conviction and Sentence

Defendant was sentenced on February 6, 2001, before The Honorable Thad Heartfield, U.S. District Judge of the Eastern District of Texas, after pleading guilty to the offense of possession with intent to distribute cocaine base, a Class C felony. This offense carried a statutory maximum imprisonment term of 20 years. The guideline imprisonment range, based on a total offense level of 27 and a criminal history category of IV, was 100 to 125 months. Defendant was subsequently sentenced to 87 months imprisonment followed by 3 years supervised release subject to the standard conditions of release, plus special conditions financial disclosure; drug treatment; mental health treatment; obtain GED; and a \$100 special assessment.

II. The Period of Supervision

On March 31,2 006, defendant completed his period of imprisonment and began service of the supervision term.

III. The Petition

United States Probation filed the pending Petition for Warrant or Summons for Offender Under Supervision on July 11, 2008. The petition alleges that defendant violated the following conditions of release:

1. Mandatory Condition: Defendant shall not commit another

federal, state, or local crime.

2. Standard Condition: Defendant shall work regularly at a lawful

occupation unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other

acceptable reasons.

3. Special Condition: Defendant shall obtain a GED within the

first two years of supervised release.

As grounds, the petition alleges that: 1. On June 6, 2008, defendant was convicted in the Eastern District of Texas of conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute 5 grams or more but less than 50 grams of cocaine base; 2. While on supervised release, defendant failed to secure full-time employment; and 3. While on supervised release, defendant failed to obtain a GED.

IV. Proceedings

On January 25, 2011, the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge convened a hearing pursuant to Rule 32.1, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, to hear evidence and argument on whether defendant violated conditions of supervised release, and the appropriate course of action for any such violations.

At the revocation hearing, counsel for the government and the defendant announced an agreement as to a recommended disposition. Defendant agreed to plead "true" to the allegation that he violated a standard condition of supervised release by failing to work regularly at a lawful occupation. In exchange for defendant's plea of "true," the government agreed to decline to proceed with remaining alleged violations of supervised release conditions. Further, the parties agreed the court should revoke defendant's supervised release and impose 13 months imprisonment, with no term of supervised release thereafter.

At the revocation hearing, defendant pled "true" to the allegation that he violated a Standard condition of supervised release by work regularly at a lawful occupation.

V. Principles of Analysis

According to Title 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3), the Court may revoke a term of supervised release and require the defendant to serve in prison all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in such term of supervised release without credit for time previously served on post-release supervision, if the Court, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure applicable to revocation of probation or supervised release, finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant violated a condition of supervised release. The original offense of conviction was a Class C felony; therefore, the maximum term of imprisonment authorized under 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3) is 2 years.

According to U.S.S.G. § 7B1.1(a), if the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant violated a Standard condition of supervised release by work regularly at a lawful occupation, defendant will be guilty of committing a Grade C violation. U.S.S.G. § 7B1.3(a)(2) indicates upon a finding of a Grade C violation, the court may (A) revoke probation or supervised release; or (B) extend the term of probation or supervised release and/or modify the conditions of supervision. U.S.S.G. § 7B1.4(a) provides that in defendant's case a revocation of supervised release based on a Grade C violation and a criminal history category of IV, the guideline imprisonment range is 7 to 13 months.

According to U.S.S.G § 7B1.3(f), any term of imprisonment imposed upon revocation of probation or supervised release shall be ordered to be served consecutively to any sentence of imprisonment that the defendant is serving, whether or not the sentence of imprisonment being served resulted from the conduct that is the basis of the revocation of probation or supervised release.

18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(e) and 3553(a) provide that in determining sentence, the court shall consider:

- 1. The nature and circumstance of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant; see 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1);
- 2. The need for the sentence imposed to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct; to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant; and to provide the defendant with needed educational or vocational training, medical care, other corrective treatment in the most effective manner; see 18 U.S.C. §§ 3553 (a)(2)(B)-(D);
- 3. Applicable guidelines and policy statement issued by the Sentencing Commission, for the appropriate application of the provisions when modifying or revoking supervised release pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(a)(3), that are in effect on the date the defendant is sentenced; see 18 U.S.C. 3553(a)(4); see also 28 U.S.C. § 924(A)(3);
- 4. Any pertinent policy statement issued by the Sentencing Commission, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(a)(2), that is in effect on the date the defendant is sentenced; see 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(5); and
- 5. The need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparities among defendants with similar records who have been found guilty of similar conduct; see 18 U.S.C. § 3553(A)(6).

VI. Application

Defendant pled "true" to the allegation that he violated a standard condition of supervised release by failing to work regularly at a lawful occupation. Based upon defendant's plea of "true" to the allegations and U.S.S.G. § 7B1.1(a), the undersigned finds that defendant violated conditions of supervised release by failing to work regularly at a lawful occupation.

The undersigned has carefully considered each of the five factors listed in 18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(e) and 3553(a). Defendant's violation is a Grade C violation,

and defendant's criminal history category is IV. Policy guidelines suggest 7 to 13 months imprisonment upon revocation. Defendant has demonstrated an inability to adhere to conditions of supervision. Defendant did not comply with the conditions of his supervision by work regularly at a lawful occupation. As such, incarceration appropriately addresses defendant's violation. The sentencing objectives of punishment, deterrence and rehabilitation will best be served by a term of incarceration.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. The court should find that defendant violated a Standard condition of supervised release, by work regularly at a lawful occupation, in the manner alleged in the petition.
- 2. The petition should be granted and defendant's supervised release revoked pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3565.
- 3. Defendant should be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 13 months to be served consecutively to any sentence of imprisonment that the defendant is serving.
- 4. Upon release of imprisonment, defendant should not be placed on supervised release.

OBJECTIONS

At the close of the revocation hearing, defendant, defense counsel, and counsel for the government each signed a standard form waiving their right to object to the proposed findings and recommendations contained in this report, consenting to revocation of supervised release as recommended herein, and consenting to the imposition of the above sentence recommended in this report. Defendant waived his right to be present and speak before the district judge

imposes the recommended sentence. Therefore, the court may act on the report and recommendation immediately.

SIGNED this <u>27</u> day of January, 2011.

Earl S. Hines

United States Magistrate Judge